Managing Media

In Adjudicating Terrorism Cases

Justice Subhasis Talapatra High Court of Tripura



Our Time: The reign of Terror?

Things fall apart; the centre cannot hold, anarchy is loosed upon the world, The blood-dimmed tide is loosed, and everywhere The ceremony of innocence is drowned; The best lack all conviction, while the worst Are full of passionate intensity.

W. B. Yeats

Interneties

Media and Public Scrutiny

The press does not simply publish information about trials but guards against the miscarriage of justice by subjecting the police, prosecutors, and judicial processes to extensive public scrutiny and criticism

Attorney General vs Leveller magazine

Nebraska Press Association vs Stuart 427 U.S. 539 (1976)

Chief Justice Burger noted most of the other alternatives as discussed with approval in *Sheppard vs Maxwell (1966)*

- Change of trial venue;
- Postponement of the trial to allow public attention to subside;
- Screening out those with fixed opinions as to guilt or innocence

Judicial Measures for Controlling Prejudice

Notwithstanding the free speech guarantee of open trials, there are some measures courts have implemented to protect the right of the accused to a fair judicial assessment Complete secrecy, however, is not tolerated by the public and therefore these devices are only limitations, rather than absolute restrictions, on coverage.

One of the strictest devices available to curtail potentially prejudicial media coverage is the gag order. Judges use gag orders to restrict the press from reporting on the proceedings and events surrounding certain trials.

Professor Aharon Barak

 On occasion, democracy fights with one hand tied. Nonetheless, the reach of democracy is superior, as safeguarding the rule of law and recognition of the freedoms of the individual, are an important component in its concept of security. Ultimately, they fortify its spirit and strength and enable it to overcome its problems.

Michael P. Goodwin, *A National Security Puzzle: Mosaic Theory and the First amendment Right of Access in the Federal Courts*

The presumption of openness may be overcome only by an overriding interest based on findings that closure is essential to preserve higher values and is narrowly tailored to serve that interest.

THE MEDIA'S IMPACT ON THE RIGHT TO A FAIR TRIAL: CONTENT ANALYSIS OF PRETRIAL PUBLICITY

Combined with the "presumption of innocence" that attaches to all criminal defendants at the start of trial, the fair trial principles require the judge to be indifferent, unbiased, and open to the evidence presented at trial. However, in an increasingly media-saturated society, defendants in high profile terrorist cases may be tried-and essentially found guilty by the news media before they reach a courthouse.

Depending on the nature and amount of the media coverage that surrounds a particular case, the judge may be profoundly affected by what the press reports. As a consequence, criminal defendants may be deprived of basic protections.

Studebaker & Penrod, 1997

Courts may continue to be reluctant to move trials to new venues, even in the most highly publicized cases, even though moving a trial to a new, untainted venue has been shown to be the only consistently successful means for dealing with the biasing effects of pretrial publicity.

The Press and the public may be excluded from all or part of a trial for reasons of morals, public order or national security in a democratic society, or when the interest of the private lives of the parties so requires, or to the extent strictly necessary in the opinion of the court in special circumstances where publicity would prejudice the interests of justice

The Hague Memorandum on Good Practices for the Judiciary in Adjudicating Terrorism Offences

The judiciary should develop rules and procedures for media coverage of public judicial proceedings, with good practices including the following:

Providing the trial judge with latitude to control the conduct of the proceedings to:

(i) maintain decorum and prevent distractions;

(ii)guarantee the safety of any court official, party, witness, or juror(where applicable); and

(iii) ensure the fair and impartial administration of justice in the pending case.

Sub-Conscious Bias

- Lord Denning was of the view that a professional judge will not be influenced by media coverage which affects only common man. This concept of judicial superiority was not endorsed by Lord Dilhorne: Attorney General v. British Broadcasting Corporation, 1981 AC 303 (HL)
- Even in United States the judiciary has been of the view that the court cannot function properly if a reporting is calculated to disturb the judicial mind. In **John D. Pennekamp vs. State of Florida**:(1946) 328 US 331 it was observed,

"No Judge fit to be one is likely to be influenced consciously, except by what he see or hears in court and by what is judicially appropriate for his deliberations. However, Judges are also human and we know better than did our forbears how powerful is the pull of the unconscious and how treacherous the rational process—and since Judges, however stalwart, are human, the delicate task of administering justice ought not to be made unduly difficult by irresponsible print."

Publication ban should only be ordered when

(a) Such ban is necessary in order to prevent a real and substantial risk to the fairness of the trial, because reasonably available alternative measures will not prevent the risk; and

(b) The salutary effects of the publication ban outweigh the deleterious effects to freedom of expression of those affected by the ban.

Pre-Trial Content to be Assessed

It is difficult to prove, however, except in the most extreme displays of prejudicial activity, that media coverage actually does result in Bias

Media Plan be laid before the Prosecutor Proposes the Charge

The media plan may encompass

(i) Maintenance of court *decoru*m and prevent distractions;

(ii) Guarantee the safety of any court official, party, witness;

(iii) Ensuring the fair and impartial administration of justice in the pending case by providing protection against prejudice from media and implicit bias, if any;(iv) Place of Trial

How to Exercise the Jurisdiction within the limited space

Where the court, on grounds of public policy or in exercise of any power vested in it, expressly prohibits the publication of all information relating to the proceeding or of information of the description and contrary which is published, is Contempt.

A finding of contempt depends upon proof that the publication has, as a matter of practical reality, a real (or clear) and definite tendency to interfere with the administration of justice, that is, to prejudice a fair trial

Lord Diplock in Attorney-General v. Times Newspaper Ltd.

Once the dispute has been submitted to a court of law, they should be able to rely upon there being no usurpation by any other person of the function of that court to decide it according to law. Conduct which is calculated to prejudice or to undermine the public confidence that they will be observed is contempt of court. **Test of Substantial Probability of Prejudice**

Order to be phrased cautiously to survive the test of Substantial Probability of Prejudice while restraining the media.

Thank you

Terrorism is theatre, a metaphor reflecting that perpetrators engage in violence to communicate with an audience AMARAT DAVID